

[Home Page](#) // [About Us](#)

Angels Marry Women?

Part 2, [Part 1](#), [Part 3](#), [Part 4](#)

By Your servant Dan Baxley

www.servantsofyahshua.com

dan@servantsofyahshua.com

Genesis 6 -- Another look

Did angels really take wives from among human women? Some very prominent teachers teach this, saying "fallen angels, spirit beings, began cavorting with flesh and blood women, taking wives for themselves and engaging in intercourse with these women of the flesh which then produced "giant" babies who then became mighty rulers, and they base all of this on Genesis 6:1-4.

This is Part 2 of a question I think [Part 1](#) answers but let's take another look at this teaching that has become a "doctrine" of belief, especially among the Evangelicals. One thing, about the term "evangelical" -- while the term means to "evangelize" and is applied to the Apostles of the Thirst, YaHshua, it has been taken by a certain segment of the Christian community as a title. Today this title is applied to those gatherings, and groups of united believers, for the most part, in spiritual powers and spirits of prophecy and those practicing the speaking in tongues -- babbling whether to angels or to themselves. These groups of Evangelicals, again, for the most part, came out of the Pentecostal adventures of the 1950-60s. Sincere people with many, many wolves roaming among them. With this study, concerning the "sons of God" and "fallen angels" I hope to help someone see the truth in this lie taught as fact. Simply put, if the angels became "fallen" because they had sex with humans, then why would they feel the need to "take wives"? Why? If, on the other hand, these angels were

already "fallen" then they would not be called "sons of God", but "demons". This false teaching also down plays the role our Savior played in His coming in the Flesh, after all, from this false teaching that angels can make babies would make the coming of our Savior not such a big deal -- angels have been doing this all along, or so they teach. Let's take another look in this, Part 2 of a [3 Part](#) study --

"...the sons of God saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose." Gen 6:2

How is it that so many teachers today want you to believe that angels "married" women of the flesh and having done so they had children – giant children (the Nephilim) – and this is why the Creator God destroyed the surface of man's first world and man too? Those teaching this falsehood use the verse quoted above to do just that.

From this slice of Bible verse we can begin to see where such a false doctrine can get a start, but how is it those claiming to be versed in the Holy Bible teach such a twisted and perverted view of the this scripture? Of course, to a novice, or a casual reader this might appear to say just that, *"sons of God took women for wives"*. Why would perfectly made angels see women as "fair" (beautiful)? This is rather peculiar, to start with. The God of the Bible equates the "flesh" of men as *"unclean"* and even the righteousness we would do does not and cannot measure up being any more than *"filthy rags"* (Isa 64:6). If we are to accept the concept that these "sons of God" are "angels" then they too would have this view wouldn't they -- wouldn't this spirit beings see us as filthy rags? Oh, you say, that is why these angel fell, they rebelled by comingling with flesh and blood. And where does it say that? It doesn't, that kind of idea has to be manufactured, also, it is full of holes and is not logical. Angels decide to marry? And for what purpose, to thumb their noses at their Creator? Oh, but wait, wasn't the leader of this "angel rebellion" already in rebellion? Of course he was. Satan had already revealed himself in the Garden of Eden, long before the account in Genesis 6. Contrary to what some idiots teach you all things are possible only with our Creator, not with us and not with

angels. We have limits as do they; even the fallen angels are limited. They can no more have sex with the flesh than a horse can have sex with a frog and it is even more ridiculous to suggest the horse and the frog could then have children, but this is what people like Chuck Missler and many other Evangelicals want you to believe.

There is a separation between species and there is no getting around this. There is a "kind" of spirit, and flesh and heavenly bodies and they are all different and not able to become something different, at least on their own (John 3:6, 1 Cor 15:38-40). That aside, let's have a closer look at this false teachings that "angels" became flesh, or manifest themselves into human form and married women.

Doctrine of Devils

This, my friends is a doctrine of devils -- there, I said it, and I mean it, there is no other answer for so many claiming to be biblical scholars to support, teach, this obviously false doctrine -- yes, even a "novice" with the simplest of research can uncover this doctrine of devils. But, before I really get into this, let us go to the verse they, these false teachers, use as the quote to settle their argument -- we'll just kick the leg right out from under them, right off at the start. Believe me; it is easy to disprove what these deceived, blind, false teachers wish the body of the Messiah to believe. Find the book of Jude in the New Testament and read it carefully. We will be approaching this false teaching in reverse using the Word of the Living God to shine the light of truth onto this dark teaching of devils and men inspired by the spirit of Satan. And make no mistake, Satan is spirit and he has a spirit he can "impart" to others, knowingly or unknowingly a spiritual influence.

(Eph 2:2) Wherein in time past ye walked according to the course of this world, according to the prince of the power of the air, the spirit that now works in the children of disobedience:

What they are Teaching

The following verse is cited over and over as proving the New Testament supports their idea that angels married flesh and blood women. Let's have a look.

Jude 1:6 And the angels which kept not their first estate, but left their own habitation, he hath reserved in everlasting chains under darkness unto the judgment of the great day.

The false teachers try to tell you that the statement, "angels kept not their first estate", is proof they departed the spirit world and took *wives* from among men. What nonsense, this type of reasoning can come only from the demons themselves. Reading the very next piece of this verse and it is made plain that it is not speaking of "demon angels" becoming flesh! Read it again, "but left their own habitation", and what do you suppose that "habitation" to be – the spirit world? How is that possible? It isn't -- those of us that declare YaHshua as our Savior know this is not possible. For starters they are "spirit" and cannot become flesh, why would they want to? Becoming flesh would mean their end, as they would perish as all flesh must perish. Again, we see our Savior as having come from our Father in heaven – delivering to us our deliverer – in the flesh – He had to come by the woman. Dare anyone suggest demon spirits had this power? Some do try to suggest this blaspheme, but others see the problem of this approach and come up with another solution in an effort to keep this demon teaching.

Think about it – Angels becoming flesh and blood ("leaving their first estate"?) in order to have sex with flesh and blood women. All the while the True Son of the Living God to be delivered by "woman" by the supernatural power of the Holy Spirit. It is by this our Savior was made above, better, more perfect than the Angels, in His suffering in the Flesh. To say that these Angels, the rebellious angels, had this power is from the tongue of Satan himself. (Matthew 22:30, Galatians 3:28, Hebrews 2:14, Hebrews 1:4, Hebrews 2:9). Certainly there is ample proof, such as the story of Lot, demonstrating that angels can appear in human form. To be more accurate, however, we should understand that the angels were

created before flesh and blood humans and that these angels conversing and eating with Abraham appearing in the flesh are not flesh, but visible to the eye, or, in the case of Lot and his family, to their eyes. Angels can appear as we are, but they are not the same and have the ability to disappear. Recall the story of Balaak, he could not see the mighty angels with the sword blocking his path, but the animal he was riding could, and then later Balaak's eyes were opened and he saw what he could not see only moments before -- flesh and blood cannot do that. We appear in the flesh as they appear in spirit, it is not they, the angels looking like us, it is we, looking like them. Read Hebrews 1:3 and see how He, YaHshua, is the very image, in the flesh, of God the Father. The pattern the Creator (Hebrews 1:2) used in making flesh and blood man is the pattern of our Heavenly Father but the exact pattern is that of our Savior YaHshua. To attribute this creative power to angels is a great error. The rebellious angels did not have sex with flesh and blood, they are neither male nor female, as we humans understand it, and our Savior said as much, "... they are neither male nor female, they neither marry nor give in marriage", they are spirit, not flesh. (Mark 12:25, Gal 3:28, Heb 1:7).

The "estate" these angels abandoned had to have been a place -- a place in the spirit world created for their dominion, a principality -- for some reason (like Satan's influence of rebellion) they left their "appointed place", their dwelling place, their "estate", their home. When we look at Jude 6 we see the answer in the one verse. "*... kept not their first estate but left their own habitation*". In Strong's we see estate as G746, chief, corner, principality or principle rule. Notice the next part, "*...left their own habitation*", and in Strong's the word for "habitation" is G3613 -- residence, habitation, house. Coupled together, as it is here in this verse, we see these angels left their domain, or the place they were to live and function as part of their Creator's duty in service to Him.

When you leave your residence or your house, do you leave your body? Okay, maybe some do (joking) or say they do, but for most of us this is not the case and it is not the case with these fallen angels. Let's use our

imagination for a minute – “Angels,” they say, “left their *spirit bodies* and became sons of God marrying women.” Can you see the fault with this? Not only that, the angels of this rebellion recorded in Jude (brother of James, our Lord’s brother, Jude 1:1), appears to have happened sometime before mankind was created, and we read in the letter of Jude these angels left the *home* established for them, their habitation, and because of this they are held captive in a spiritual prison, in chains of darkness awaiting the judgment, not having sex with flesh and blood women.

(Isa 14:12-15) How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations! For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High. Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell, to the sides of the pit.

This observation, that certain beings left their own place in an attempt to replace the Creator God, to even rise above Him. They ignored this attempting to use Jude as their authority in support of the teaching angels had sex with human women.

And they Took Wives?

They did not take “wives” as the false teachers would imagine as we are told in *Matthew 22:30 and Galatians 3:28*, they are neither male nor female and they do not marry, what could be plainer? Where do they, those teaching this heresy, get this demonic teaching? Dr Schofield of the Schofield Bible Notes, and the Schofield Bible is one of the earliest Christian teachers of this false idea, but the teaching itself is thousands of years old. As noted in [Part 1](#) of this study the Jewish scholars have been teaching this for some time, and it is likely some of the Christian community has taken this teaching as their own. It is not hard to find pagan teachings on this same subject -- “gods” coming down to live among men and to have sexual intercourse with flesh and blood women. The legendary Hercules is supposed to be the product of such an illicit union.

Spirits may be able to possess, but not to become flesh. Many teachers of this doctrine do understand this problem, but still seek to go around this problem by saying that the demon angels possessed the male gender of the human race, and then using their host to impregnate women. How ridiculous can you get? These teachers will tell Christians this made up tale, with a straight face, not a blush in sight -- this ridiculous, stupid, pagan idea – an idea inspired by Satan. But the modern day teacher of teachers in this realm of truth mixed and remixed with fables and false theories is non other than Zecharia Sitchin. His books and the amount of combined material in support of alien angels from outer space genetically altering man from monkey to a thinking being is incredible. The amount of information is so staggering as to convince almost anyone that this must be the truth. But wait, is there anyone that can refute his claims and all the evidence he presents? Yes there is, and when you see it for yourself you begin to see that Sitchin is either really, really wrong, or a fake. Go to this [link](#) for more on why the theories in Sitchin books are interesting and even captivating, but wrong. Sitchin is wrong.

Freedom of Expression

The “estate” the rebel angels abandoned was a “habitat” -- a place, a position – given to them to rule over, but apparently it was not considered good enough. Under the influence of Satan they sought to take the Kingdom by force. You see, angels were given the same freedom of thought that we enjoy. They are not robots going through eternity without choice, unthinking beings. No, they are as free as we are, and have a choice of loving or hating, of doing our heavenly Father’s will and performing His purposes or not. Satan and a few others of the spirit world choose to do things their own way. Somehow, under self-imposed deception, they thought a thing so evil as to replace their Maker. The spirit being, we know as Satan, began as a mighty angel of light, but is now a fallen demon trapped in a darkness of denial and seen as a devouring dragon, as the Destroyer not the builder, and he took a third of the angels in Heaven with him (Rev 12:4). The following verses have been recognized as a shadow of

what happened to Satan, originally called "Lucifer", which means, "light bringer", like the first "star" of the morning. Angels are many times symbolized by the appearance of stars, or a star.

(Isa 14:12) How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations! For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High.

(2Co 11:14) And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light. Therefore it is no great thing if his ministers also be transformed as the ministers of righteousness; whose end shall be according to their works.

Satan, once a light bringer, but now fallen -- fallen to the "ground", to the "earth". Today we are told, by Paul, that we should not be amazed that Satan has this ability to appear as an "angel of light" to humans and that when we understand this then we should also not be amazed that his "ministers" also appear as "light bringers". So, when it is discovered that a favorite teacher, a popular teacher that has built great works of the flesh (buildings, TV stations, satellite networks, mega churches, and mega mega churches) we should not be amazed when we also witness their fall -- well, not their fall but the uncovering of their deception, the true darkness they have as they hide behind the true light of the world, the Savior the Scriptures. With this observation it is not me judging anyone, but His Words, and we have them written down for all to see and which some twist and do violence to but you have the ability, in this day, in this time, to discern these things for yourself for you (I am guessing) have a copy, a personal copy of those very words delivered to us by the original Prophets and Apostles -- the switch to turn on the real light and expose those leading you down the wrong path.

My Theory

I have an opinion, a guess, if you will, about what may have happened to inspire a full third of the created angels to go against their creator joining forces with Satan in an attempted overthrow. It goes something like this: Satan saw how perfect he had been created and began to think himself equal with his Creator. When the full plan of our Heavenly Father was revealed Satan saw that he was not going to be the chief of creation, but that our Father had a plan to bring about created beings closer to Himself than any of the angels -- He would create Himself in others by YaHshua, His Son. These beings would be called humans made of flesh and blood with the potential of becoming sons and daughters of the Sustainer of all life, closer than a created angel. YaHshua would be the first of many, He, YaHshua the "First Fruits" of this new creation of this new approach to the Father of all creating for Himself *children*. Satan's rebellion would be to break away from being a servant, or a future servant to the *children of the Living God* and in this he found other angels in agreement. These rebellious angels were created for a purpose they did *not* want to fulfill --

(Heb 1:13-14) But to which of the angels said he at any time, Sit on my right hand, until I make thine enemies thy footstool? Are they not all ministering spirits, sent forth to minister for them who shall be heirs of salvation?

Spirit beings, as such, were created eternal, not made of flesh and blood, not made of physical matter as we know it. It is this world we, as humans, seek to join and it can be seen why we are tested in the flesh. The solution to "rebellion" is to eliminate it before it can become eternal. This is why we find statements in the Bible like --

"...the wicked; for they shall be ashes under the soles of your feet in the day that I shall do this, saith the LORD(YHWH) of hosts" (Malachi 4:11).

The future of rebellious flesh shall become ash, becoming as nothing when the Earth is purified by fire (2 Peter 3:7), only the righteous of the Living God will survive -- those having accepted YaHshua as Savior. Still, no one is deserving of escaping this coming "purification" and this is why our Loving Father in Heaven has sent to us His Son. It is by His

“righteousness” and His blood shed for us, covering those of us having willingly accepted YaHshua, the only way to eternal life, and it is by and through Him that we are able to survive this cleansing fire to come – by the power of His Creating Spirit, in YaHshua we will live.

Certainly there is the “resurrection” of the Saints, but the Saints of YH, believers in the Messiah, YaHshua, are joined with Him as “first fruits”. The “fire to come” is the final word in the plan of salvation taking us into eternal life. This is why we see that it is a special blessing to those that are fortunate enough to be in the FIRST resurrection -- for there is a *second* death.

But the rest of the dead lived not again until the thousand years were finished. This is the first resurrection. Blessed and holy is he that hath part in the first resurrection: on such the second death hath no power, but they shall be priests of God and of Christ, and shall reign with him a thousand years. Revelation 20:5-6

Notice the mentioning of a “*second death*” and the “*first resurrection*”. The *second death* means there is a *first death* and that is the death all “flesh” must experience – the flesh must die – putting on incorruption -- there is no passing from flesh to spirit, nor spirit to flesh – only the Messiah has done such a thing and we know *He is the First* and those of us believing in Him, accepting Him, calling on Him, will be next. There is to be another “resurrection” after the thousand years, some to eternal life, some to eternal death and this will be the “*second death*”. This should be ample proof that the spirit beings of the “rebellion” were not coming to earth and taking wives making flesh and blood offspring. This is a lie accepted by some, and in this have unwittingly given the demons credit for a power they do not possess, they cannot procreate. If they could then what YaHshua and our Heavenly Father did means nothing, after all, even demons can produce -- this is blaspheme and downgrades the plan of our Creator and makes a mockery of our Savior having come in the flesh.

There has been a teaching attempting to answer obvious objections to this business of angels taking wives by saying the evil angels possess human

men and thereby have children by women. Nice try, but no cigar, as the twisting of turnings grinds its way through the imaginations of men attempting to satisfy some theory never mentioned in scripture.

Remember, these individuals in Genesis 6 are called "sons of God", not demons or rebel angels, not even 'fallen ones". From the context of Genesis 6 it is plain the "sons of God" became something less by their actions of taking wives from among men as opposed to taking wives from their own group -- they began to marry outside of their own people -- those recognized as "sons of god" among the people were marrying outside of their faith, simple as that. Even if angels were called "sons of God" (as a matter of creation) the rebel angels, those having followed Satan, would no longer be called "sons of God" -- can you see that?

The implication by those putting forward this teaching of total possession of men by evil spirits in order to enjoy sex with women is more than merely a spirit possessing a weakened human and causing trouble for that individual, it is an implied total possession of flesh and blood in order to produce children -- half god half human -- and the fallen angels did not want to have bastard kids so they made sure to take wives, to marry in a lawful way -- preposterous, I say. Now why would spirits of any kind want to inhabit flesh and blood in this way, so they can legally marry? They know the written word as well as anyone and it is plain to them they are bound in "chains of darkness", they are not at liberty to do such a thing, their possession abilities are limited and subject to obedience under the Name of YaHshua, as demonstrated in the New Testament over and over again. Demons have no possession power over the "sons and daughters of God". Yes, we know of the many cases in the New Testament of demon possession, but notice the obvious -- no demon marriages, no demon cross-breeds, none, only possessions of madness and sickness and mental derangement, no super humans babies. We are to become Spirit beings one day, that is our future, and it will not be angels becoming flesh.

Now this I say, brethren, that flesh and blood cannot inherit the kingdom of God; neither doth corruption inherit incorruption. 1 Corinthians 15:50

We seek to become part of the spirit world, no one ever sought to join the world of the flesh, as did one unique individual, YaHshua and in suffering in the flesh, as we do, He was set above any and all angels being made more perfect in this -- no angel has ever been flesh, or suffered the pain of being in the flesh. When we are complete and are raised as He was by the same power that raised Him, then we too will join with Him in that perfection and of being placed above the angels as literal sons of the Living God and Sustainer of all things. Understanding this you should be able to see the true sacrifice our Savior made in leaving the world of eternal life, becoming part of the corruptible world of the flesh -- totally -- and even then He knew He must die, really die -- He had to exercise total faith in the Father to raise Him up and to keep Him from total corruption – HalleluYah. It is a lie to suggest any spirit being ever had this power, this ability to move from spirit to flesh, to have children of flesh, cross breeds of super humans. Imagine if you can, our Savior taking counsel with our Heavenly Father and willingly accepting this mission – a mission to become flesh, giving up His life of eternity for the lowly form of physical man. See how it had to be done, through a flesh and blood woman, by the action of physical birth into the flesh; God's First Son would come. No rebel angel has ever done such a thing.

The final word, however, comes from the Messiah:

For in the resurrection they neither marry, nor are given in marriage, but are as the angels of God in heaven. Matthew 22:30

The key phrase here is, "... but as the angels of God in heaven." What can you surmise from this? Angels do not marry and with this understanding we know the rebel angels of God – these created beings – were not taking wives. So, just who were the "sons of God" referred to in Genesis 6? First, they were not "angels" (can't say that enough, Heb 1:5) and with this understood we know they must have been of another source, not angels, but other men of the flesh. We see throughout the Old Testament and the New Testament references made to any that are true believers. If Christians think of themselves as "children of God" what would that make them?

Right -- sons and daughters of the Living God. If we be sons then whom do you suppose the "sons" were of old? Yes – flesh and blood individuals that were followers of YHWH. Some have tried to teach that there was no one among men called "sons of God" before YaHshua came in the flesh. This is not true, for one Adam was a created man of flesh and blood and is call a "son of God", next we find other verses where the term for "son" in Hebrew (*bene*) is also used for the term "children" (Deut 14:1, Hos 1:10) and in these examples we see the same word for "children" as that used for "sons" - *bene*. This means that argument is in error, the children of Israel were called the 'bene of YHWH'. Certainly YHWH (LORD) is God and that being true then these children are the "sons of God". Those in Genesis 6 became corrupted in their intermarriage with women of common man and these unions led to a falling away and we do not hear any more of the "sons of God" after the Flood because they were wiped out.

Alternate View, Adam, a Son of God

There is another possibility, however, and I mention it here as only a possibility. The sons of God were actually sons of Adam. Adam is seen in ancient writings among the Canaanite population as being the father of all mankind and known among them as the god EL, a slothful god given to drink -- sounds more like a human than a god, right? His, Adam's, sons coming down from on high (Eden) and mixing among men (humans outside of Eden). It has occurred to me that while YHWH created Adam and Eve and placed them in the Garden of Eden, there may have been another creation of humanity apart from Adam and Eve outside the garden -- Adam and Eve were originally created outside the Garden and then placed in it later. This may well have been the people Cain feared when he is driven from the protection of the Garden. It would explain a lot if this were the case, and I see no biblical objection to this view at this time. I am not the first to make this observation. Suggesting a creation prior to Genesis 2 is a real tail twister for some clinging to the "one creation" and only "one creation" concept. Keeping an open mind for a moment, we

might possibly see where some of the ancient myths about the gods originates -- maybe more fact than fiction, but for now only speculation.

Harper's Bible Dictionary, 252, gives a bit of history surrounding the God known as El, later as Elohim (*Elohim is recognized as the plural form of the name EL, thus meaning more than one EL or Gods*) --

In Ugaritic Literature: "...reveals much of what we know about the Canaanite god EL. EL is the king, regarded as ruler among the gods... "To judge from his epithets, El is a creator. At Ugarit he was called the 'Builder of Things Built,' and elsewhere he held the title "Creator of the Earth." El has other epithets in the Ugaritic literature. He is called the "Father of Years," a title that recalls his epithet "Eternal" on a Sinaitic inscription. El is an aged god. Ugaritic texts refer to his gray beard and speak of his wisdom. El is called "Compassionate," "The Bull," "Beneficent," and the "Father of Humankind." El lives at the source of two rivers amid the fountains of the (world-encompassing) Deep (cf. Gen 1:1) This is a localization that lies beyond the boundaries of terrestrial space."

"...In a short text, EL is portrayed drinking himself into a stupor and wallowing in his own excrement and urine."

"...Philo of Byblos (ca. A>D> 64-141), a Greek historian who collected Phoenician lore, identified EL with Kronos, told of EL's marriage to Astarte, Rhea, and Dione, and reported that Zeus (Baal) ruled the earth with the permission of EL. Here there is no hint of declining EL or of conflict between EL and Baal."

At Ugarit, El's consort with Asherah, a goddess whom the Bible links with Baal ..."

It is my contention that the god EL mentioned in the Ugaritic and Canaanite text and later being associated with, even called, the Greek god Zeus was Adam. These early gods were seen as gods with human frailties, more human than god and in my opinion were actually mythic tales of

Adam and Eve and their sons and daughter, actual historical characters – becoming the stuff of legends as it were. It is my *opinion and speculation* that the general population of that pre-flood, looked on the family of Adam as gods – “sons of god”. EL being Adam, and his children the Elohim or gods, the plural term for god (gods). From this group of humans driven from the Garden of Eden and into the world of other men, other flesh and blood beings from the very first creation (Genesis chapter 1). If you look closely at Genesis chapters 1 and 2 you will see what appears to be a dual creation of men. In the first, man is created in the image of the Creators (“... *let us create man in our image...*” *Gen 1:26*). After this point of creation we enter Genesis chapter 2 where we see YHWH, a singular God, identified and this God, YHWH, is creating a special garden, and then creating a man (Adam) and placing him in this specially prepared garden. Two creations, two floods? When Adam and Eve failed and were driven from the Garden their children had a natural fear of the people from before, those living in the low lands, outside of the Garden area and this led to Cain’s plea for protection from these other people. YaHWeH said he, Cain, had to leave the Garden area because of his sin. Cain then begged for his life, fearing the others would kill him. If Cain and Abel were the only two brothers then who was it that Cain feared? It had to be someone outside of the Garden proper.

Cain, Kain, Kane

Cain feared those outside of the area where he and his family lived and YHWH marked him in some way that led mankind, outside of this area where Adam and his family lived, to believe him to be a god. Yes, I am speculating, but I feel this speculation in no way contradicts Scripture and does help to fill in missing links concerning the development of man and religion, pre-flood, and giving rise to the “mythological” tales that seem to appear in all previous records with the names changing from one society to the next but the tales continue to be very similar and very human. The people first created in the beginning were part of the overall creation, but Adam and Eve were created personally by YHWH Himself, not as a

cooperative effort as before. Humans outside of the region of the Garden would have seen anyone from that region, from the Garden territory, as gods, or as the *children of God – the ‘sons of God’*.

With Cain's departure and with this special marking placed on Cain, the people created before would then have feared him making him the first *god king – a god coming down among men, coming down from the great mountain of the gods*. This marking by the Creator would not only save Cain's life but give him power over the population of mankind and would be the reason he so easily convinces the people he once feared into building projects. Cain was able to inspire these strangers to help him build the first cities. Why else would a population that Cain feared so easily come under his command and direction?

(Genesis 4:16-17) And Cain went out from the presence of the LORD (YHWH), and dwelt (lived) in the land of Nod, on the east of Eden. And Cain knew his wife; and she conceived, and bare Enoch: and he builded a city, and called the name of the city, after the name of his son, Enoch.

Cain was given a special mark, a mark that set him apart and put fear into the hearts of those living in the land of Nod. Where was this land of Nod? Outside of the garden of Eden, on the east side, we are told. Staying with the context of these verses we see that Cain first leaves the presence of YHWH and goes to live in the land of Nod, then we see he has a wife, not before, and then she has children with him. As a “son of God” he takes a wife from *among men* and has children.

He builds a city and names it after one of his children. This would suggest a work force and a population of considerable size, not some tribal unit of a few people in tents, nomads wandering about, and again demonstrates his rebellion against YHWH. He is able to marshal those he once feared into a work force in honor of his own son. The first God King.

We see this system of *god kings* and building projects the world over, the Egyptian civilization being the most familiar, the modeled Babylonian

Empire and before them all, the Sumerians -- all originating in the Middle East, not Africa.

This is conjecture, of course, but I believe it to be much more believable than the idea of *angels* taking wives. Speculation is just that speculation and might make great movies or books, but it is still speculation. The idea that angels took wives, meaning they began to marry human women has turned from speculation to doctrine and is allowed to influence other teachings allowing the doctrines of devils into Christian religious beliefs. My personal belief (speculation aside), however, is that when the sons of Adam began to call on YHWH's Name (Gen 4:26), a sure sign of acceptance, that they were the men called "sons of God", just as we are called the "Children of god" today because of our acceptance of YaHshua as our Savior (Rom 8:14) . Some attribute this reference to the "sons of God" as applying to Seth and his followers, but look closely; it is *from* the time of Seth's son, Enosh (the Enoch of the New Testament) that we read about mankind beginning to worship our Creator by His name YHWH, "... *men began to call upon the name of YHWH.*" This means that men, during this time, knew His Name but for some reason this did not become an issue during the time of Enoch.

(Gen 4:26) Seth also had a son, and he named him Enosh. At that time men began to call on the Name of YaHWeH.

Nothing about Seth or Enosh engaging in this, only that "men" began *at that time*, in what appears to be a return to the worship of the Creator and to call on Him by His Name, YHWH. The birth of Seth's son Enosh marks the time when this began to happen, true converts returning to their Creator, these "sons of god" eventually falling in their own wisdom to the lust of the flesh and began to marry (take wives) from among the pagans of that day and in this, like the great King Solomon, were led into false worship and the abandonment of the worship of YHWH. Solomon, of course, came after the great Flood but is a good example of what happened. Solomon did like they had done, backsliding to the whims of his pagan wives (1 Kings 11). Read about it, read all about it and you will see

how the King hailed as the wisest man ever falls in his later years, leading Israel into one of its most religious periods of all time. The only problem, YHWH is forgotten, Solomon yields to the pleasures of his pagan wives and the result is a very religious nation worshiping all the wrong gods, worshiping the Elohim (gods) of men and man -- Solomon, too, worshiped at the altars of foreign gods.

More False Teachings by Learned Men

I have a book in my possession, written by, Dr Henry Morris, God and the Nations, in which he makes a case for the "sons of god" being "demons". I did not gain permission to quote directly from the book, so, I will use my own words to tell you what he presents. This is not a teaching exclusive to him for many of the Evangelical community accept this teaching too. So, what Dr Morris puts forward is not his own idea but the idea – imagination – of many others before him. The great Dr Schofield of the Schofield Reference Bible being one example having written on this long before Dr Morris wrote on the subject. The premise is the same as Dr Morris and Dr Schofield find common ground. Also, adding to this wrong turn in thinking and adding to the confusion is the writings of Zecharia Sitchin and his many books on how the world began.

Dr Morris states (page 33) that the "sons of god" were angels and that these angels were demons. He says these demons possessed women and that the babies they produced, through human fathers, were then demon possessed. This union of demons with women had some kind of nutritional response that led to them having children that became giants. Let's not forget, this is coming from a man highly respected in the Creation Sciences. He has written several marvelous books on creation and proves his intelligence over and over again. This is the problem, lesser people, like me, would naturally think Dr Morris has it figured out and it took some time and research for me to discover one of my favorite people was deceived on this subject.

It is not my objective to discredit Dr Morris, he is a recognized scholar in the ongoing debate concerning creation/evolution, and has written a ton of material disproving the "*theory of evolution*". Here, however, the doctrinal study concerning the subject of angels is outside of his expertise. We see Dr Morris stepping outside of his zone of knowledge for some strange reason in support of this false teaching. If a mind such as Dr Morris can buy into this kind of theology then we should all take warning and pray, as followers of YaHshua, that we do not fall for such false doctrines of demons and of other fables – we need to be doubly cautious.

If Dr Morris had only said this was speculation and was merely putting this out as a consideration for discussion then that would be different, but that is not the case. He scoffs at the scholars that have a different conclusion. Much of Dr Martin's argument and conclusions concerning the "sons of God" in Genesis 6 is contrary to the Scriptures, even denying what our Savior said concerning Spiritual beings not *marrying nor giving in marriage* (Mark 12:25). His reasoning goes something like this: *Saying angels do not marry in heaven does not mean they don't after being cast out of heaven* (The Genesis Record, pages 166-167).

Next Dr Martin sides with the teaching that from this union of angels and human women came the "giants", or the Nephilim going so far as to call them "half-angel, half-men". It is relatively easy to dispel the idea that the "giants" (Nephilim) were the children of a woman-demon union. The scripture is plain and needs no interpretation, it states the there were "giants" on the earth at the time these "sons of gods" were taking wives - it is a time marker, when giants (Nephilim) were on earth -- dragons, or dinosaurs, maybe (just a thought)? Dr Morris, of all people, should be aware of this possibility as a professional geologist. Having had access to tons of evidence opposing evolution, you would suppose he would have seen this as an alternative, but no, he takes the Scripture completely out of context to say these "giants" of that day were the offspring of demonic marriage unions with flesh and blood women.

There is evidence giants were roaming this earth and some of them were humans, men of stature, heroes, men of renown, great kings and such, but never is it suggested these were the progeny of a union between women and demons -- this is a false doctrine of devils and demons. Ask yourself the question, "Would angels of Heaven, cast to the earth, rebelling by taking *wives*, still be called "sons of god"? Of course not, not then and not today.

Another problem, discussed before, is that of demons taking wives. The messiah indicates this cannot be, that when we receive our new bodies we will be "*like the angels of heaven, neither marrying nor giving in marriage*" (Mat 22:30). Dr Morris' way around this is to tell us that the word used for "wife" can also mean "woman". He tells us that the demons did not take wives but took women, and this by possession. He jumps so far off the path of what scripture is telling us it is hard for me to take anything he has to say about any subject, seriously and makes me question all of his conclusions on every subject. How can such a wonderful mind become so flawed? We should take this very seriously and as a warning to keep our minds open to our Father's ability to keep our minds protected. For your own protection continue to pray, making a request for the blessing of our Heavenly Father's Wisdom, not of the world's view but of His. When men with greater minds than ours can fail and fall into this kind of flaw thinking we need to sit up and take notice and take the appropriate action that our minds are not compromised.

Big Deal, Who Cares?

Why is any of this important? So what if it is angels or men? Christ is all that counts, right? Well, yes, of course but if you can be led off in some other direction you just might be led off into worshipping "*another Christ*", calling on another god and not the true God. Identifying these false doctrines helps to keep you sharp and not only that, we are warned of such things and it is a warning my friends, given over two thousand years ago to the followers of the Christ YaHshua..

Now the Spirit speaks expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils; Speaking lies in hypocrisy; having their conscience seared with a hot iron; 1 Timothy 4:1-2

Is this the explanation for Dr Morris, or Dr Schofield's position? Doctrines of devils? Seducing spirits? It is a warning and *a sign* for the believers of the "...latter times...". When reading the scriptures we are informed that there is such a thing as false teachings and *doctrines of demons*, that there are "*seducing spirits*" (not sexual, please) and we need to be aware of this. A warning such as this should prove to us how we must maintain our vigilance, centering our understanding on the Word of Truth. The deception by *seducing spirits and demon doctrines* is not laid entirely on the scholars, scholars that should know better, but also laid at the feet of lay-members. You have a personal responsibility in working out your own salvation and in the study of the Word (Php 2:12, 2 Tim 2:15).

(2Timothy 4:3-4) For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears; And they shall turn away their ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables (Myths).

Are your ears turned from the truth? Do your ears itch to hear the next titillating thing? Does getting excited over fables and myths cause you to read more about the amazing possibilities than centering on the written Word of our Father and the plain statements of our Savior, YaHshua? Myths and fables, doctrines of devils and demons, false teachers, wolves in sheep's clothing, and people of flesh and blood actually being inspired by Satan and his aides. This is all in your Bible as warnings against such things and to ignore what we are told, in advance, is to be foolish.

Do not be a follower of any man for we have but one head, and that is the Messiah, YaHshua and over Him our heavenly Father (1 Cor 11:3). Our Savior, and the message of salvation did not come from made up myths, made up stories and carries with it eye witness accounts of events proving YaHshua as Savior. Beware of those that use fictional writing in an effort to

convince you of their doctrine giving demon angels credit for things they are not capable of. It is one thing to speculate within the frame work of the Bible – never denying its truth and authority over any theory or any speculation – turning such speculations into doctrine as though it is the truth is another matter.

“For we have not followed cunningly devised fables, when we made known unto you the power and coming of our Lord YaHshua the Christ, but were eyewitnesses of his majesty.” KJV 2 Peter 1:16

“Many will follow their shameful ways and will bring the way of truth into disrepute. In their greed these teachers will exploit you with stories they have made up.” 2 Peter 2:2-3 NIV (writing fiction, Left Behind books come to mind as just one example?)

Even back in the days of the Apostle Paul and Peter there were warnings going out to the Church. We have had over two thousand years of the wolves at work, Satan, and seducing spirits working their deceptions. There has always been a body of true worshipers not overcome by fables, fiction writing, or doctrines of demons. Today we seem to be inundated with fictional (fable) writings with the excuse of reaching the larger secular public – as if the written Word of the Living God is not enough!

And through covetousness shall they with feigned words (made up) make merchandise (use) of you: whose judgment now of a long time linger not, and their damnation slumber not (does not sleep).

For if God spared not the angels that sinned, but cast them down to hell (this earth, Rev 12:9), and delivered them into chains of darkness, to be reserved unto judgment; And spared not the old world, but saved Noah the eighth person, a preacher of righteousness, bringing in the flood upon the world of the ungodly; And turning the cities of Sodom and Gomorrah into ashes condemned them with an overthrow, making them an ensample unto those that after should live ungodly; KJV 2 Peter 2:3-6

“Through covetousness” is to say, *“through greed”*, a type of idolatry. *“Feigned words”*, is the same as *“words made up”*, like the fictional writings of the imagination. Making up things to steal disciples to themselves, making *“merchandise”* of those buying into their stories, they made up myths. This is going on today just as it was during the time the Apostles of YaHshua were teaching the Christ.

Also of your own selves shall men arise, speaking perverse things, to draw away disciples after them. Acts 20:30

Sure, they tell you they do this to win converts to Jesus, *the false and bogus name of modern Christianity*, and I am inclined to believe them and their sincerity, but my Savior, Yahshua, in His written words and that of His Apostles tells me they are wrong – they have the wrong Messiah and are teaching a different Messiah as they mix manmade myth and fictional stories with Scripture. They preach JESUS and of course we know that this is not the name of our Savior, YaHshua, so they are off on the wrong foot from the start.

That aside we can see the key words is *“...of your own-selves”*, and *“...speaking perverse things”*. They do just that, and it is easily seen in their fictional books and writings. This attitude, this excuse for using worldly wisdom as opposed to biblical direction is also reaching over into the Christian music. Acting like *“perverse”* un-believers with the excuse of trying to reach the world. The music of modern Christianity has the sound of the music of the world and at times is just as perverse, especially among the young. Seeking disciples the Worlds way -- conforming to the world, they say, to reach the world by copying what the World is doing. And this as an excuse for gaining converts? They search the world over, using modern technology, to gain converts to the other Christ, the other Messiah, making converts of the world, not to YaHshua but to a false Christ they call Jesus.

2 Pet 1:16 For we have not followed cunningly devised fables, when we made known unto you the power and coming of our Lord YaHshua the Christ, but were eyewitnesses of his majesty.

2 Pet 2:3 And through covetousness shall they with feigned words make merchandise of you: whose judgment now of a long time lingers not, and their damnation slumbers not. (Their judgment is sure, it is coming)

2 Pet 2:4 For if God spared not the angels that sinned, but cast them down to hell, and delivered them into chains of darkness, to be reserved unto judgment; (If angels cannot escape judgment how can we expect to escape?)

Read again in a modern translation:

"Many will follow their shameful ways and will bring the way of truth into disrepute. In their greed these teachers will exploit you with stories they have made up. Their condemnation has long been hanging over them, and their destruction has not been sleeping". NIV (2Pe 2:12-4)

"We did not follow cleverly invented stories when we told you about the power and coming of our Lord YaHshua the Christ, but we were eyewitnesses of his majesty". NIV (2Pe 1:16)(Name restored)

dan@servantsofyahshua.com

www.servantsofyahshua.com

www.yahshuaservant.com